IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Appeal Against Order No.44 of 2005.

[Roshan S/o Rajendra Daga]
Vs.
[Wardha Nagari Sahakari Adhikosh (Bank) Maryadit, Wardha and others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,appearances,Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

Shri Anjan De, Advocate for the appellant.
Shri Ghate Advocate for R-1.
Shri PN Kaslikar Advocate for R-1 & 2.
Coram: A. H. JOSHI, J.
Dated: 3rd DECEMBER 2007.

1. As and when the action under Section 13 of the Securitization Act would be completed, the appellant has remedy in law. This point alone operates against the plaintiff for prima facie case in favour of the plaintiff not being proved.

2. In this background, no interference is called for in this appeal. Appeal is therefore, dismissed.

JUDGE

Sbm